**Meeting Minutes**

**Date:** June 11, 2015  
**Meeting Date:** June 1, 2015

**Subject:** Twin Rivers USD LRFMP-Steering Committee Meeting #6  
**Meeting Location:** Twin Rivers USD – District Office - Administration Conference Room

**Attendees:** (see below)  
**Meeting Time:** 5:00PM PST – 6:30PM PST

**Project:** Twin Rivers USD LRFMP  
**PBK Project No.:** 14270R

### Present:

| Bill McGuire, Twin Rivers USD | Mike Braff, Twin Rivers USD | Tim Hammons, Twin Rivers USD |
| Mike Baker, Twin Rivers USD | Deborah Wells, Member, 100 Strong | Henry Wirz, CEO, Consumer Banking |
| John Smith, S.I.M. Architects | Lisa Sawin, S.I.M. Architects | Todd Spore, PBK |
| Roy Montalbano, PBK |

### Distribution:

| All Attendees | Kimbely Barnett, Twin Rivers USD | Nicole Smith, Twin Rivers USD |
| Eyyonne Hendricks, Twin Rivers USD | Beth Bros, Twin Rivers USD | Boyd Ransom, Twin Rivers USD |
| Kate Ingersoll, Twin Rivers USD | Terry Bradley, School Business Consulting, Inc. | Sean Pourvakil, LP Engineers |
| Ryan Ennis, LP Engineers | James Lim, LP Engineers | Jessica Clark, PBK |
| Whitney Cabaza, PBK | Beth Bryant, PBK | File 2B |

### A. Opening Discussion/Comments:

1. Buildings have been observed based on physical appearance and cracks observed on exterior surface (paving, exterior walls, exterior soffits and ceilings) have been noted. A structural engineering analysis is not part of the scope of services and the LRFMP does not go into this level of detail. Bill stated most buildings in the District are designed for earthquake. (Prior to 1937)
2. The question of replacing aged facilities with new buildings was raised and addressed. Response: The State of California allocates dollars to improve existing facilities – one cannot simply replace the building with new construction.
3. On the next printing of boards for meetings, Henry requested in addition to enrollments- show funding capacity, boards should be all telling.
4. Bill stated a following part to this plan is to run attendance zones – All of the data will be presented to make these decisions.
5. Another consideration is to track neighborhoods' when they turnover.
6. Pioneer has an issue with shortages of parking; must be addressed.
7. Henry mentioned parking/drop-off areas continue to be an issue; maybe Twin Rivers can be the catalyst to come up with a better parking standard.
8. Bill described the LRFMP accounts for District-Wide projects that all schools can use.

### B. ENEC Review:

1. Question was raised about construction phasing and being mindful to not allow building to be exposed to the elements and allowed to deteriorate.

### C. Bay 'D':

1. Bay D Modernization is proposed to include a Professional Learning Community Center in which Faculty will use to learn new software delivery systems and enhance instructional delivery methods. Students will
also have access to the space to experience various learning environments while the community will have access to technology and observe how instruction is being conveyed into the classroom.

D. Performing Arts Center:
01. Proposed new performing arts center that will be accessible by all schools.

E. Transportation:
01. Consolidating 2-yards into 1-Central center and will be adjacent to the proposed new Police Service Center.

F. NextGen-Grant Union High School
01. Grant Union HS will receive a modernization to include converting a typical classroom wing into a fully renovated Next Generation learning space that will accommodate different learning styles. This project would provide the district as a whole to experience a Next Generation learning environment.

G. Review of District Funding for LRFMP:
01. 1-Billion Dollars of Need; $230M of Dollars available.
   - Local Funds – If sold, can’t access it at 1-time
   - State Funds- Help to leverage the dollars to fulfill the modernization need.
   - Measure G- $48-$50M Bonds available
02. Must be realistic; must be honest about what will be done.
03. This committee will possibly be putting together this puzzle this September.
04. PBK to resurrect the list of improvements the district is handling now and preset to the committee. Impact funds do not apply, since these are modernizations.

H. District Priority Review:
01. Safety & Security: Bill remarked that we must make sure schools are safe & secure. If state bond happens, could go above $40M.
02. Must get feedback from Committee – will make recommendation to Board of Trustees.
03. Bill remarked that not everyone will like the plan, but the District is getting things done.

I. Milestone Dates - Meeting Schedule Review:
01. June 22nd Meeting – Next Committee Meeting // Steering Committee review final recommendations.
02. July 7th –Board Workshop – Steering Committee makes final recommendation to the Board of Trustees.
03. September 15th – Final Recommendation to Board of Trustees. District will be polling at this time as well.
04. May 2016 – Resolution for Measure on ballot.

The preceding summation is our interpretation of the items discussed at the referenced meeting. Any persons desiring to add to or otherwise correct the minutes are requested to put their comments in writing to the project manager on or before the next meeting. Otherwise, the minutes will stand as written.

Roy Montalbano, Partner
Director of Business Development

END OF MINUTES